

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON ADDENDUM 62K (April 8, 2000)

Comment	Name of commenter	Contact	Summary of comment	Proposed response
0001/001	Maury Wawryk Venmar CES Inc. Ph: 306-242-3663 F: 306-242-3484 E: mwawryk@venmarvent.com		I agree with the changes listed in Addendum K.	ACCEPT.
0002/001	Todd Veiler Venmar CES Inc. Ph: 306-242-3663 F: 306-242-3484 E: tweiler@venmarvent.com		SAME AS 0001/001	ACCEPT.
0003/001	Luc Janelle Venmar CES Inc. Ph: 306-242-3663 F: 306-242-3484 E: ljanelle@venmarvent.com		SAME AS 0001/001	ACCEPT.
0004/001	Hal Levin Building Ecology Research Gr Ph: 831-425-3946 F: 831-426-6522 E: hlevin@cruzio.com		4.1.2.1 – Delete the period at the end of the section after the word “construction” and add the following: “and the ventilation provided to the added spaces must meet the requirements of this standard.” This clarifies the intent and leaves less for misinterpretation.	REJECT The added verbiage proposed is in conflict with the exception.
0004/002	Hal Levin		4.1.2.3 – Add the words “replaces one that” after the words “a building that” in the first line and delete the words “and replaced” in the same line. This clarifies the meaning and reduces the possibility of misinterpretation.	
0005/001	Marco Giamberardino		4.1.2.3, Replacement – Rephrase	

Comment	Name of commenter	Contact	Summary of comment	Proposed response
	BOMA International Ph: 202-326-6356 F: 202-371-0181 E:mgiamberardino@boma.org		beginning of second sentence to read "Unaltered components not being removed or replaced are not required to be brought into compliance..." This provides needed clarity.	
0005/002	Marco Giamberardino		4.1.2.4, Substantial Alterations – In b., replace "assessed value" with "replacement cost". Replacement cost offers a more accurate measure.	
0005/003	Marco Giamberardino		4.1.2.5, Change in Use – Add "for that space" to the end of the sentence. This provides needed specificity.	
0006/001	Gary Kuhl Philip Morris Mgmt Corp. Ph: 917-663-3467 F: 917-663-5849		4.1.2.1. - Retain the language from the first public review draft and renumber following sections accordingly. Thus: <u>4.1.2.1 Retroactive Application.</u> <u>Except as indicated in this section, the requirements of this standard shall not be retroactively applied to existing buildings.</u> As a major building owner and tenant in North America and around the world, Philip Morris is a regular user of ANSI/ASHRAE 62-1989 and will be impacted by virtually every aspect of any revision. Philip Morris objects to this proposed independent substantive change. It should be	